

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,130	09/26/2003	Christopher W. Ramirez	DC-05161	6077
7590 08/31/2005		EXAMINER		
Stephen A. Terrile HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP			LE, NANCY LOAN T	
PO Box 203518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78720			3621	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/672,130	RAMIREZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	NANCY LOAN T. LE	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	av-2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to.						
·_ · · · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
_	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 May 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to amendment filed on 16 May 2005 in which claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 11-13, 17 and 18 have been amended; and all other claims 1, 4-6, 9, 10, 14-16, 19 and 20 remain the same. Thus, claims 1-20 have been examined and are now pending.

Drawings

2. The replacement of the drawings filed on 16 May 2005 is accepted by the examiner.

Claim Objections

3. Previous objection to claims 2-3, 5, 7, 8, 11-13, and 15-16 due to informalities has been withdrawn. Claim 17 remains objected b/c of the following informalities: the word "and," should be removed from the third limitation as in "link the value that uniquely identifies the information handling system with the product code value".

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 27 February 2004 for the foreign patent EP 0 921 487 A1 in particular, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered by the examiner because no legible copy of the above-mentioned foreign patent was submitted.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's Arguments or Remarks made in an Amendment, filed on 16 May 2005, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-20 under Bueche, U.S. Patent No. 6,834,269 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection of the last office action under Bueche has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection of claims 1-20 is now made in view of Horstmann, U.S. Patent No. 6,009,401, published on 28 December 1999.

Page 2 of 8

Application/Control Number: 10/672,130

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Horstmann*, *U.S. Patent No. 6009401*, published on *28 December 1999*.

As per <u>claim 1</u>, Horstmann discloses a method for enabling remote restoration of a purchase verification, comprising:

- obtaining a value that uniquely identifies an information handling system (i.e., machine ID, a unique identification of the end-user machine – see col. 5, lines 6-7, 10);
- obtaining a promotion code value that identifies a benefit (i.e., obtaining/retrieving a *license* certificate [identified by license number] that identifies/describes license terms, policies, info. for
 relicensing, server locations, etc. see col. 3, lines 12-17);
- linking the value that uniquely identifies the information handling system with the promotion code
 value for the information handling system (i.e., the relicensing manager sends a request for
 the re-installation of the software along with the unique 'machine ID', a unique identification of
 the end-user machine on which the software and license certificate reside, to the clearing
 house server see col. 5, lines 4-7);
- during remote restoration, obtaining the promotion code value for the information handling system by providing the value that uniquely identifies the information handling system (i.e., the relicensing manager provides the 'machine ID' to the clearing house server ... and retrieves/obtains the license certificate if a matching purchase record can be found see col.
 4, lines 6-11; col. 5, line 10); and,

Application/Control Number: 10/672,130 Page 3 of 8

Art Unit: 3621

providing the promotion code value to the information handling system to re-enable the benefit
 (i.e., providing the license certificate to the requested end-user machine for re-licensing and
 download of the software – see col. 4, lines 6-34).

As per <u>claim 2</u>, Horstmann discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- installing application software in an information handling system memory when the information handling system is assembled at a manufacturing facility (col. 4, lines 31-34); and,
- linking any promotion code values with the value that uniquely identifies the information handling system within an order management system (i.e., the relicensing manager ... re-installing and updating the license certificate on the requested end-user machine see col. 4, lines 10-11, 31-34).

As per <u>claims 3, 8, 13, 18</u>, Horstmann discloses the method/system of claims 1, 7, 11, and 17, respectively, wherein:

the promotion code value includes a system-specific key that enables a benefit (i.e., the license certificate/ticket includes a 'machine ID' that allows/authorizes for the re-installation and re-licensing of the previously installed software for the requested end-user machine – see col. 4, lines 10-34; col. 5, lines 4-16).

As per <u>claims 4 and 14</u>, Horstmann discloses the method/system of claims 3 and 13, respectively, wherein:

- the benefit was erased prior to registration of the benefit (i.e., in the event the machine hard drive fails, the license certificate [that enables the benefit] ... need to be re-installed. Thus, it is understood that the old license terms & policies stored in the old license certificate was automatically wiped out just prior to the re-installation/registration of the license certificate & software itself see col. 3, lines 63-65; col. 4, lines 10-34); and
- the method includes placing the keys and software back onto the information handling system
 (i.e., re-installing the license certificate and the software itself onto the requested end-user
 machine see col. 4., lines 10-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,130

Art Unit: 3621

As per <u>claims 5, 9, 15, and 19</u>, Horstmann discloses the method/system of claims 1, 7, 11, and 17, respectively, wherein:

• the value that uniquely identifies the information handling system is a service tag (i.e., a purchase document such as a license certificate or a sales receipt with a unique license number that can be used to retrieve the electronic license that allows for convenient re-download and re-licensing of the software – see col. 2, lines 61-63; col. 3, lines 12-17; col. 5, lines 33-37).

As per <u>claims 6, 10, and 20</u>, Horstmann discloses the method/system of claims 1, 7, and 17, respectively, further comprising:

- determining whether any promotion code value or benefit is present on the information handling
 system during the remote restoration (i.e., the relicensing manager first checks the
 relicensing policies as stored within the license terms portion of the license certificate stored
 on the end-user's machine see col. 4, lines 14-16); and
- comparing an expected promotion code value and expected benefit to any promotion code value
 or benefit stored on the information handling system to determine which promotion code
 value and benefit to restore to the information handling system (i.e., the clearing house server
 checks the license certificate database for the particular license certificate & compares for its
 terms, policies, permission with re-licensing, etc. col. 4, lines 12-25).

As per <u>claim 7</u>, Horstmann discloses a process for remote creation of a system-specific key for a benefit purchased post point-of-sale comprising:

- obtaining a value that uniquely identifies an information handling system (i.e., machine ID, a unique identification of the end-user machine – see col. 5, lines 6-7, 10);
- obtaining a promotion code value that identifies the benefit (i.e., obtaining/retrieving a *license* certificate [identified by license number] that identifies/describes license terms, policies, info.
 for relicensing, server locations, etc. see col. 3, lines 12-17);
- linking the value that uniquely identifies the information handling system with the product code
 value (i.e., linking the 'machine ID' with the ticket serial number contained in the ticket that
 identifies the name of the authorized software to be downloaded -col. 5, lines 7-12); and,

Application/Control Number: 10/672,130 Page 5 of 8

Art Unit: 3621

creating the system-specific key for the benefit purchased post point-of-sale based upon the
value that uniquely identifies the information handling system (i.e., the clearing house server
creates/returns a 'ticket' that allows/authorizes the relicensing of the software for the
particular, requested end-user machine – see col. 5, lines 7-12); and,

 providing the promotion code value to the information handling system to enable the benefit (i.e., providing the license certificate to the requested end-user machine for enabling the relicensing and download of the software – see col. 4, lines 6-34).

As per <u>claim 11</u>, Horstmann discloses a system for enabling remote restoration of a purchase verification, comprising:

a restore module (i.e., a relicensing manager – see col. 3, line 12; col. 4, lines 6-34), the restore module being configured to:

- obtain a value that uniquely identifies an information handling system (i.e., machine ID, a unique identification of the end-user machine see col. 5, lines 6-7, 10);
- obtain a promotion code value that identifies a benefit (i.e., obtaining/retrieving a license
 certificate [identified by license number] that identifies/describes license terms, policies,
 info. for relicensing, server locations, etc. see col. 3, lines 12-17);
- link the value that uniquely identifies an information handling system with the product code
 value for the information handling system (i.e., linking the 'machine ID' with the ticket
 serial number contained in the ticket that identifies the name of the authorized software
 to be downloaded --col. 5, lines 7-12);
- during remote restoration, obtain the promotion code value for the information handling system by providing the value that uniquely identifies the information handling system (i.e., the relicensing manager provides the 'machine ID' to the clearing house server ... and retrieves/obtains the license certificate if a matching purchase record can be found see col. 4, lines 6-11; col. 5, line 10); and,

Application/Control Number: 10/672.130 Page 6 of 8

Art Unit: 3621

 provide the promotion code value to the information handling system to re-enable the benefit (i.e., providing the license certificate to the requested end-user machine for enabling the re-licensing and download of the software – see col. 4, lines 6-34).

As per claim 12, Horstmann discloses the system of claim 11 further comprising:

- an install module, the install module installing application software on an information handling system memory when the information handling system is assembled at a manufacturing facility (i.e., a relicensing manager – see col. 3, line 12; col. 4, lines 6-11, 21-34); and,
- a link module, the link module linking any promotion code values with the value that uniquely identifies the information handling system within an order management system (i.e., relicensing manager, installing & linking license certificate with the specific, requested enduser's machine see col. 4, lines 6-34).

As per claim 16, Horstmann discloses the system of claim 11 further comprising:

- a determining module, the determining module determining whether any promotion code value or benefit is present on the information handling system during the remote restoration (i.e., the relicensing manager first checks the relicensing policies as stored within the license terms portion of the license certificate stored on the end-user's machine – see col. 4, lines 14-16);
 and
- a comparing module, the comparing module comparing an expected promotion code value and
 expected benefit to any promotion code value or benefit stored on the information handling
 system to determine which promotion code value and benefit to restore to the information
 handling system (i.e., the clearing house server checks the license certificate database for
 the particular license certificate & compares for its terms, policies, permission with relicensing, etc. col. 4, lines 12-25).

As per <u>claim 17</u>, Horstmann discloses a system for remote creation of a system-specific key for a benefit purchased post point-of-sale comprising:

a restore module (i.e., a relicensing manager – see col. 3, line 12; col. 4, lines 6-34), the restore module being configured to:

Application/Control Number: 10/672,130

Art Unit: 3621

- obtain a value that uniquely identifies an information handling system (i.e., machine ID, a
 unique identification of the end-user machine see col. 5, lines 6-7, 10);
- obtain a promotion code value that identifies a benefit (i.e., obtaining/retrieving a license
 certificate [identified by license number] that identifies/describes license terms, policies,
 info. for relicensing, server locations, etc. see col. 3, lines 12-17);
- link the value that uniquely identifies an information handling system with the product code
 value for the information handling system (i.e., linking the 'machine ID' with the ticket
 serial number contained in the ticket that identifies the name of the authorized software
 to be downloaded -col. 5, lines 7-12);
- create the system-specific key for the benefit purchased post point-of-sale based upon the
 value that uniquely identifies the information handling system (i.e., the clearing house
 server creates/returns a 'ticket' that allows/authorizes the relicensing of the software for
 the particular, requested end-user machine see col. 5, lines 7-12); and,
- provide the promotion code value to the information handling system to enable the benefit
 (i.e., providing the license certificate to the requested end-user machine for enabling
 the re-licensing and download of the software see col. 4, lines 6-34).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY LOAN T. LE whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7066**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

JAMES P. TRAMMELL can be reached on (571) 272-6712. For official/regular communication, the fax
number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. For
informal/draft communication, the fax number is (571) 273-7066 (rightfax).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

Application/Control Number: 10/672,130 Page 8 of 8

Art Unit: 3621

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

NL

22 August 2005

TREASE WILL STREET